

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vigginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/228,109	01/11/1999	MARTIN BRADY	0166	7301
7:	590 06/18/2003			
ROGER S DYBVIG		EXAMINER		
22 GREEN ST DAYTON, OH			SHOAP, ALLAN N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3724 DATE MAILED: 06/18/2003	2)

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

^			0
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/228,109	BRADY, MARTIN	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Allan N. Shoap	3724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a re y within the statutory minimum of thirt will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON , cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communic ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 l</u>			
<i>,</i> —	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims			its is
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-5 and 7-13</u> is/are pending in the ap	polication		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	•		
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4.5,8,9,14 and 15</u> is/are allowed.	wir irom consideration.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3,7, and 10-13</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers	4		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	oted or b) objected to by the	ne Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)☐ approved b)☐ d	sapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	•		
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	3 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents			
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li> <li>application from the International But</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	•		cation)
a)   The translation of the foreign language pro	visional application has be	en received.	allon).
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§§ 120 and/or 121.	
Attachment(s)	<b>4</b> ) □ 1-1		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Ir	nummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/228,109

Art Unit: 3724

1. The Office action mailed 2/4/03 (Paper No. 19) is to be considered herein repeated and made <u>Final</u>.

Applicant's arguments filed 5/5/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that there is no suggestion to mount the scissors and its sheath holder to a can opener and argues this is what the Board had stated in its original decision. The Board, however, did not have before it Davies and Nielsen et al. Davies states that it would have been obvious to provide a scissors and sheath holder to any surface deemed convenient as noted in Paper No. 19. Moreover, Nielsen et al suggests securing a scissors via its holder to a home appliance as also noted in Paper No. 19. True, neither reference refers to a can opener but in combination the references are suggestive to provide a holder and scissors to any surface deemed convenient. The examiner asserts this could be a bathroom cabinet wall, a gun cabinet, the exterior of a tank bowl or its reservoir tank, a telephone, a saw horse, a drill, a chair. a table, a couch, a living room wall, a sliding door or any other door, a television, a window, a vacuum cleaner, a dog cage, a car fender, a drawer, a lamp, a computer, a bath tub, a tool box, a file cabinet, an Army tank, a summer fan, an astronaut's quarters in outer space, a bulletin board, a coat rack, a bed, etc., etc. As long as the scissors does not get in the way of the operation of the appliance or create a danger such a fire hazard on a wall surface, it is submitted that one can place a scissors and holder. literally, anywhere. Otherwise, it would appear that applicant is stating the claimed scissors and sheath holder would be patentable to someone else if this other individual came up with a surface to attach them to that applicant had not previously suggested.

Application/Control Number: 09/228,109

Art Unit: 3724

It is clear from Nielsen et al that various home appliances may have a scissors attached such as sewing machine, refrigerator, a washer, a dryer. The patent to Nielsen et al uses the term "machine" broadly since it then suggests a sewing machine as an example. It is submitted that since a can opener is a machine, if a consumer has a reason to provide a scissors near it, then it would have been obvious to provide the scissors on it as well as one of any available surfaces where the scissors could be placed and made accessible. Nevertheless, even if a can opener is not considered a machine – and it is not understood why it would not be – it would have been obvious to place a holder and sheath on it if it was determined to be an available surface where accessibility of a scissors could be quickly made.

## Conclusion

2. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Allan Shoap whose telephone number is 703-308-1082.

In lieu of mailing, it is encouraged that all formal responses be faxed to 703-872-9302. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

ALLAN N. SHOAP

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

ART UNIT 3727 703-308-1082

June 16, 2003